UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/942,078 | 08/28/2001 | Donald Harrell | 10251-047 | 6164 | |
| 21890 PROSKAUER | 7590 04/18/2007 ROSE LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| PATENT DEPA | ARTMENT | | FRENEL, VANEL | | |
| 1585 BROADV | VAY NY 10036-8299 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1,2,, 10,4,, | | • | 3627 | | |
| | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 04/18/2007 | PAF | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/942,078 | HARRELL ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Vanel Frenel | 3627 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | _ | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J | anuary 2007 | · | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| | • | _ | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| • | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | , | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | | | | |
| o) | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

This communication is in response to the Declaration of Affidavit Pursuant to 37
 C.F.R 1.131 filed on 1/29/07 of Donald Harrell. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lam et al (2002/0120527) in view of Parsons (6,411,939), for substantially the same reasons given in the previous Office Action, and incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/29/07 with respect to claims 1-25 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed hereinbelow in the order in which they appear in the response filed on 1/29/07.
- (A) At pages 2-3 of the response filed on 1/29/07, Applicant's argues the following arguments and explanations by showing various Exhibits as proof.

Art Unit: 3627

(i) Submitted herewith is a Declaration of Affidavit Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.131 by one of the named inventors, Donald Harrell of this patent application.

(B) With regard to Applicant arguments, Examiner respectfully states that (a)
Applicant does not clearly show at best the steps of: (d) determining a reinsurance
portion of the value of said cargo insurance certificate automatically, and (e) processing
on-line a subsequent claim from said customer regarding said cargo shipment. These
specific features in claim 1 are not described and not disclosed in any of the submitted
Exhibit. Therefore, Applicant's has failed conception.

Since the declaration was insufficient, Applicant directed to the rejection of claims

1-25 and the Exhibits presently shown were based on the submission of the

combination of the references cited above to reject the claims. Therefore, Applicant's

arguments are not persuasive and the rejection is hereby made Final.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078

Art Unit: 3627

Page 4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zeender Ryan Florian can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

V.F V.F

Hodrew Joseph trody Primary Examiner, AU 3627